SFEDI®AWARDS

Level 3 Award in Researching Design Thinking Methodology

Regulator

Qualification Reference Number

Qualification Start Date

Last Date for Registration

Available Age Ranges

Ofqual

603/6697/7

1 December 2020

1 November 2025

16-18, 19 plus

Total Qualification Time

Guided Learning

60 hours

36 hours



Copyright © 2020 SFEDI Enterprises Ltd

All rights reserved. This book or any portion thereof may not be reproduced or used in any manner whatsoever without the express written permission of the publisher (address below).

Approved SFEDI Awards centres and learners undertaking a SFEDI Awards qualification may photocopy this document free of charge and/or include a PDF version on its intranet where it is only done so for the purposes of the approved delivery of this qualification.

SFEDI Enterprises Ltd t/a SFEDI Awards
53 Coniscliffe Road
Darlington
County Durham
DL3 7EH
www.sfedigroup.com

Every effort has been made to ensure that the information contained in this publication is true and accurate at the time of publication. However, SFEDI Enterprises t/a SFEDI Awards strives to continually develop and improve our qualifications and, as such, there may be occassions where changes are required. SFEDI Enterprises t/a SFEDI Awards does not accept liability for any loss or damage arising from the use of the information contained within this publication.

Contents

Contents	3
About This Qualification	5
Qualification Overview	6
About SFEDI Awards	7
Customer Feedback	7
The Regulated Qualifications Framework (RQF)	8
Qualification Level	8
Qualification Size	8
Total Qualification Time (TQT)	8
Institute of Enterprise and Entrepreneurs (IOEE)	9
What Does SFEDI Awards Expect?	10
Learner Registration and Certification	
How We Monitor Qualification Delivery	11
Direct Claims Status (DCS)	
Internal Quality Assurance	
Delivery/Assessment	
Delivery/Assessment/Quality Assurance Staff Requirements	
Assessment Methods	13
Initial Assessment	
Mandatory Assessment Methods	
Expert Witness/Witness Testimony	13
Observation	
Product Evidence	
Professional Discussion	
Recognition of Prior Learning	
Simulation	
Authentication of Learner Evidence	
Feedback	
Data Protection and Confidentiality	15
Protection of Minors in Evidence Collection	15
Unit Structure	16

Qualification Unit Details	17
A/618/4979 Using Research to Identify Design Thinking	18
Unit Content	
Annex	20
Learner Registration Form	21
Assessment Plan	22
Assessment Report	23
Witness Testimony Report	26
Evidence Matrix Record	28
Summative Statement	29
Internal Quality Assurance Sampling Report	30
Learner Interview Record	32

About This Qualification

The Level 3 Award in Researching Design Thinking Methodology is designed to give learners the opportunity to explore the skills required to research innovation in the development of new products and services.

There are no formal entry requirements however, in order to benefit from undertaking the qualification, learners should possess communication skills sufficient to allow them to address the assessment requirements.

Assessment should be through the production of a portfolio of evidence presented for assessment by a suitably qualified Assessor. Mandatory assessment methods, where applicable, can be seen within the individual unit details.

Those who complete this qualification may wish to progress their learning by undertaking other SFEDI Awards qualifications further details of which can be found on our website. All of our qualifications have been designed and recognised by employers.

SFEDI Awards offers a range of qualifications within our Business Development series that support the development of transferable employability and enterprising skills.

Qualification Overview

Qualification Structure	1 Mandatory Unit
Assessor Qualification Required (please check details of appropriate Assessor qualifications later within this document)	No
IQA Qualification Required (please check details of appropriate IQA qualifications later within this document)	No

Unit Title	Reference Number	Mandatory/ Optional	Unit Level	Credit Value	Guided Learning (hours)
Using Research to Identify Design Thinking	A/618/4979	М	3	6	36

About SFEDI Awards

SFEDI Awards was founded in 2007 creating the first dedicated Awarding Organisation specialising in business enterprise and business support, a standing still enjoyed to this day within the UK.

Our qualifications are built on the extensive research that underpins the SFEDI® National Occupational Standards and the expertise of the Institute of Enterprise and Entrepreneurs, the UK's only professional Institute dedicated to enterprise.

With our qualifications having been designed to be flexible to meet the wide range of demand within enterprise learning, we recognise the needs of industry to develop enterprising and entrepreneurial individuals to support the sustainability and growth of business and the wider community.

As the only dedicated UK Awarding Organisation, SFEDI Awards designs and develops a range of bespoke business enterprise and business support qualifications that are delivered through members of our both UK based and international delivery network.

Within this we also develop and publish best practice materials and resources to support the delivery of our qualifications to a high standard to ensure that learners gain all they require when either starting or progressing their enterprise journey.

Customer Feedback

We are always keen to understand learners and centres thoughts on the content of all aspects of our qualifications and welcome feedback. This can be done by emailing us at customerservices@sfediawards.com and indicate in the header field, 'Customer Feedback'.

The Regulated Qualifications Framework (RQF)

The Regulated Qualifications Framework (RQF) is the vehicle for regulating qualifications within England and vocational qualifications within Northern Ireland. The framework provides a single, simple system for cataloguing all qualifications regulated by Ofqual by both level and size.

Qualifications on the Regulated Qualifications Framework (RQF) have both a level and a size allocated to them in order to support individuals in making an informed choice about the most appropriate qualification for them.

Each RQF qualification title contains the following:

- √ The level of the qualification (from entry level to Level 8)
- ✓ The size of the qualification (Award/Certificate/Diploma)
- Details indicating the content of the qualification

Each qualification has a published structure setting out what must be achieved by an individual in order to demonstrate their knowledge and skills in order to meet the required standard as part of the delivery and certification process.

Qualification Level

The level of a qualification relates to the complexity and difficulty associated with the development of the knowledge and skills of a particular subject.

Qualification levels start at Entry Level and then progress from Level 1 through to Level 8

Qualification Size

The size of a qualification is an indication of the total amount of time a qualification will take to complete indicated using the term Total Qualification Time (TQT)

Qualification sizes are expressed using the terms Award, Certificate or Diploma

Total Qualification Time (TQT)

Total Qualification Time (TQT) provides a guide of the average time it takes to complete a qualification broken down into two types of activity:

- ✓ **Guided Learning (GL)** made up of activities completed by the learner under the direct instruction or supervision of a lecturer, supervisor or tutor whether through physical presence or electronic means provided as a measurement of time in hours
- ✓ **Total Qualification Time (TQT)** made up of the Guided Learning (GL) plus all other time taken in preparation, study or any other form of participation in education or training but not under the direct supervision of a lecturer, supervisor or tutor provided as a measurement of time in hours

TQT is a new system used by the Regulator and will be phased in up to 31 December 2017.

Institute of Enterprise and Entrepreneurs (IOEE)

The Institute of Enterprise and Entrepreneurs (IOEE) is the UK's only Institute dedicated to 'learning by doing' for anyone thinking about starting or running their own business and those who support them. By joining the IOEE it enables likeminded entrepreneurs to come together to share knowledge, experience and skills.

By undertaking this qualification an individual is eligible to receive one year's study membership* of the Institute enabling them to take advantage of the following benefits:

- Regular information on what's happening through the IOEE Enterprise and Mentoring Monthly including case studies of people just like them who are becoming more enterprising
- ✓ Opportunity to access the national government Start Up Loan initiative through the IOEE and Start Up Loan strategic partnership
- Opportunities to meet other business owners in the online communities who are happy to share how they have been there, done it, got the t-shirt and continue to wear it!
- Enterprise resources including videos and articles to enhance their understanding of enterprise and enterprise support
- ✓ The chance to meet other members through Meet a Mentor and networking events
- ✓ A chance to compare notes with other entrepreneurs and business support professional through the online groups and forums
- √ A chance to promote themselves to other IOEE members through the IOEE profile page

Further details of the Institute can be found at www.ioee.uk

^{*}One year's free study membership of the IOEE for SFEDI Awards learners aged 18 years and over and undertaking an eligible qualification

What Does SFEDI Awards Expect?

To offer this qualification an organisation is required to hold SFEDI Awards centre status and also apply for qualification approval. The latest information on the SFEDI Awards website can be viewed by clicking here.

As we operate as a regulated Awarding Organisation we hold high regard to the standards of professional service we provide to centres and, in turn, the service centres deliver to their learners.

To support this we operate a number of policies and procedures to ensure that we always operate in a fair and open manner.

Published on the SFEDI Awards website are policies and procedures covering the following areas:

- ✓ Appeals
- ✓ Complaints
- ✓ Continuous Professional Development (CPD)
- ✓ Customer Service Policy
- ✓ Direct Claims Status
- Equal Opportunities
- Invoicing
- Qualification Titling in Marketing and Logo Usage
- ✓ Malpractice and Maladministration
- ✓ Privacy
- ✓ Quality Bulletins
- ✓ Reasonable Adjustments
- Recognised Prior Learning
- ✓ Registration and Certification
- Retention of Records
- √ Whistleblowing

We are continually updating the policies and procedures available to view through the website so please keep checking back to make sure you have the latest information available. You can see the latest versions of all of these policies by clicking here.

Learner Registration and Certification

Our online registration and certification system, Registr8, allows you to process information efficiently and quickly. You can register and certificate learners, produce reports, retrieve and view customer information and also access invoices and monitoring reports.

Learners should be registered with SFEDI Awards within 4 weeks of commencing the programme or qualification. It is the responsibility of the centre to obtain a Unique Learner Number (ULN), from the Learner Records Service, and accurately enter this into the Registr8 system in order that learner achievements can be recorded on their Personal Learning Record (PLR).

SFEDI Awards centres must use the Registr8 system to indicate where a learner has successfully completed the qualification and/or units within it. Where a centre holds Direct Claims Status (DCS) certificates will be issued, where this is not the case an External Quality Assurer will contact the centre to arrange sampling.

How We Monitor Qualification Delivery

We pride ourselves in the continued quality of the delivery of our qualifications through our delivery network and in order to ensure that this is maintained we conduct both folder and systems monitoring activities.

We provide two monitoring activity reviews per year where a member of our Monitoring Team will review your organisation's activities in order to provide feedback and support on your qualification delivery. If you think you need a visit simply contact our Customer Service Team on customerservices@sfediawards.com or 0845 224 5928 and we will be more than happy to discuss your requirements with you.

You will be provided with a report on completion of the monitoring activity feeding back on your organisation's current performance against the SFEDI Awards Core Principles and planning activities to help support continuous improvement and the sharing of best practice.

In order to inform future monitoring activities, SFEDI Awards makes use of a risk rating system that provides an organisation with a rating determined by the findings of monitoring activities.

SFEDI Awards also conducts additional monitoring activities in order to provide support and ensure the continued quality of the delivery of our qualifications including:

- ✓ Customer Satisfaction Surveys
- ✓ Mystery Shopping
- ✓ Qualification Consultations and Reviews
- √ Thematic Reviews

Each of these allows us to ensure that the qualifications we provide and the way they are provided continues to support the development of the enterprise skills agenda and a truly entrepreneurial community.

Direct Claims Status (DCS)

We operate a system called Direct Claims Status (DCS) providing you with the ability to certificate learners' achievements without the need for external monitoring activity taking place first. It is awarded at qualification level and not centre level and can be awarded and removed dependent on the consistency, quality and integrity of the qualification delivery within the centre.

Where a centre holds DCS a monitoring officer from SFEDI Awards will sample a selection of the folders worked on and completed since the last external monitoring activity.

Where a centre does not hold DCS for a qualification it will require an external monitoring activity to take place prior to being able to gain certification for learners.

Internal Quality Assurance

Internal Quality Assurance will be completed by the SFEDI Awards centre and involves sampling of learners' documents and assessment decisions to ensure standardisation across Delivery/Assessment staff and consistency and fairness of assessment following Awarding Organisation requirements.

SFEDI Awards provides quality assurance documentation that can be used by the centre for recording quality assurance decisions. We have provided templates which can be used within the annex of this document or alternatively they, and other templates, can be accessed on the SFEDI Awards website. These documents are provided for the centre to use but, if the centre wishes to develop their own recording material, the minimum requirements must be met to ensure quality assurance practices are not disadvantaged. Minimum requirements can be accessed on the SFEDI Awards website.

Delivery/Assessment

SFEDI Awards centre staff should work with learners to ensure they understand the nature of the qualification and assessment approach. Both centre staff and the learner should agree at the beginning of the assessment journey how the assessment will be undertaken and what each can expect from the other, including arranging times and dates for learning and assessment activity and support. The Delivery/Assessment staff will follow the principles of plan, judge and feedback as described within professional standards.

SFEDI Awards provides assessment documentation that can be used by the centre for recording the planning, judging and feeding back of assessments. We have provided templates which can be used within the annex of this document or alternatively they, and other templates, can be accessed on the SFEDI Awards website. These documents are provided for the centre to use but, if the centre wishes to develop their own recording material, the minimum requirements must be met to ensure assessment practices are not disadvantaged. Minimum requirements can be accessed on the SFEDI Awards website.

Delivery/Assessment/Quality Assurance Staff Requirements

There is no requirement for Delivery/Assessment or Internal Quality Assurance staff to hold the Assessment or Internal Quality Assurance qualification. It is recommended that, as best practice, staff should be working towards these or already achieved the appropriate qualification. If the centre decides to use none qualified tutors/trainers/line managers for the delivery or quality assurance of a qualification, prior approval must be sought from SFEDI Awards.

If you wish to access public funding for the delivery of qualifications, please refer to your funding agency for delivery staff qualification requirements.

Assessment Methods

The following provides examples and explanations of the most common forms of assessment methods. Other methods can be used by centres to enable learners to demonstrate they meet the standards as detailed within the units.

Initial Assessment

Centres should complete an initial assessment with learners prior to the commencement of the qualification to ensure that the subject matter and level of the qualification is the most appropriate to meet their needs.

This initial assessment should also form part of the planning process so that the most appropriate methods of assessment are chosen to suit the learner and their learning environment whilst also meeting any mandatory assessment methods the qualification may contain.

Mandatory Assessment Methods

Some units may require mandatory forms of assessment to be completed. SFEDI Awards will make note in each unit whether mandatory assessment methods apply. If it states that there are no mandatory assessment methods, a suggestion of the types of assessments that could be used will be provided.

Expert Witness/Witness Testimony

These are provided by external people as the Delivery/Assessment staff cannot possibly be present at all times when a learner completes a task that could be used as evidence. The testimony will be expected to detail who and what the activity entailed and where the activity took place. A template for the collection of a witness testimony has been provided later within this document.

Observation

This is a recorded report of an observed activity to show who and what the activity entailed and where the activity took place. It is normally recorded by the Delivery/Assessment staff and should be planned for. On occasions the opportunity may arise for a naturally occurring observation but this must not be seen as common practice. A template for the collection of an observation has been provided later within this document.

Product Evidence

This is a work product, for example a letter or research, that has been produced which can support the evidencing of performance. When using this form of evidence it is important to ensure that confidentiality is adhered to and no information is provided that may compromise this. It may be appropriate to reference the location of product evidence within a professional discussion so that, if the quality assurer wishes to view it, then they can request a copy. A template for the collection of product evidence has been provided later within this document.

Professional Discussion

This is a recorded report of a discussion between the learner and the Delivery/Assessment staff and should give real examples, where possible, of activity completed. It is normally recorded by the Delivery/Assessment staff and should be planned for. A Professional Discussion is not a question and answers session and should be led by the learner. A template for the collection of a professional discussion has been provided later within this document.

Recognition of Prior Learning

Prior Learning can form part of the assessment process where the learner is able to demonstrate that they meet the assessment requirements for a unit through knowledge, understanding or skills that they already possess. In this instance the learner must provide evidence to show that the assessment criteria have been met.

The Assessor will make a professional judgement about the evidence presented, which could be in a variety of forms, including, a statement or professional discussion to explain what prior activity has taken place and how this links to the standards to be achieved. The assessment process for Recognition of Prior Learning should be rigorous, reliable and fair.

For full details of how recognition of prior learning can be used within SFEDI Awards qualifications please see the SFEDI Awards website.

Simulation

In exceptional circumstances evidence from simulation can be used to complement the primary evidence drawn from business enterprise activities. Simulation should only occur where:

✓ The candidate would otherwise be precluded from providing the necessary evidence for demonstrating they met the requirements of the standards because of the nature of their business or business idea

Simulated activities should match as closely as possible those that occur in a real business environment. This is particularly important where these activities and their outcomes are being assessed to provide evidence to demonstrate the candidate is meeting the requirements of the standards. If simulation is to be used, prior agreement as to the arrangements must be sought from SFEDI Awards prior to the assessment taking place.

Activities should take place in a realistic working environment that:

- ✓ Is based on business enterprise activities, events, challenges and markets
- ✓ Includes a comprehensive range of demands, activities, constraints and challenges typical of those that would be met in real a business enterprise
- ✓ Gives candidates access to facilities, advice and support that would be normal for the business enterprise activity, event or type of challenge represented
- Places candidates under pressures of time, resources and access to support that would be normal in a business enterprise
- ✓ Is organised and managed as would a real business enterprise situation
- Is subject to normal workplace controls regarding health and safety, equal opportunities, and codes of conduct

Authentication of Learner Evidence

Centres must have knowledge and certainty that all assessments undertaken are entirely the work of the learner being assessed and a statement of authenticity signed by the learner and Assessor must be present within the portfolio. A template to record this statement has been provided later within this document.

Feedback

Feedback must be provided to the learner for each assessment carried out and recorded on the assessment documentation and should feed into both assessment planning and the completion of progress reviews. The feedback should provide the learner with information relating to:

- √ Whether the planned assessment has been completed.
- ✓ The quality of the assessment completed
- What has been covered within the standards
- ✓ What is required to be completed to move the qualification forward

Templates to support the planning and feedback functions have been provided later within this document.

Data Protection and Confidentiality

Many of the potential assessment methods that could be used in order to support the delivery of a qualification may lead to the inadvertent collection of data and confidential information, for example where a piece of product evidence has been gathered.

In these instances both the learner and the centre should take all reasonable steps to ensure that data protection and confidentiality legislation and policies are followed and data that should not be released within the public domain is protected.

Protection of Minors in Evidence Collection

Where video or photography is used in order to collect evidence for the completion of a qualification, care should be taken where minors (those under 18) are included within. Both the learner and the centre have responsibility for ensuring child protection legislation and policies are followed and that minors are not put at risk.

If a minor is included within video and/or photographic evidence it is the responsibility of the centre to inform the learner of:

- ✓ The requirement to obtain the permission from the minor's parent or guardian prior to collecting the evidence
- The purpose of the restrictions that are in place when making use of video and/or photographic evidence
- ✓ The requirement to retain evidence for the purpose of quality assurance and the timeframe that evidence will be retained
- ✓ The requirement to store video and/or photographic evidence securely
- ✓ The associated child protection legislation

Unit Structure

The following demonstrates the structure of units within SFEDI Awards qualifications:

Unit Reference Number	Unit Title
Unit Status	This will show whether the unit is mandatory or optional
Unit Level	Level allocated to the unit on the RQF unit databank
Credit Value	Credit value assigned to the unit
Guided Learning	Learning hours required to complete the unit under the guidance of a lecturer, supervisor or tutor whether through physical presence or electronic means

Unit Overview and Main Outcomes

This will provide you with the rationale for the unit and the knowledge and skills the learner will develop by undertaking the unit.

Assessment and Grading of This Unit

This will provide you with the grading structure for the unit and also will list the mandatory and/or suggested assessment methods for use in the completion of the unit.

Unit Content

This will provide you with the learning outcomes and assessment criteria that the learner must provide evidence for in order to complete the unit.

Learning Outcome - The learner will:	Assessment Criterion - The learner can:
This shows what the learner needs to know, understand or do	This sets out the criteria the learner must meet and provide evidence against in order to complete the unit

Qualification Unit Details

This page is left intentionally blank

The following pages contain the units that are contained within this qualification

A/618/4979 Using Research to Identify Design Thinking

Unit Status Mandatory
Unit Level 3
Credit Value 6
Unit Learning hours 36

Unit Overview and Main Outcomes

This unit contains 3 learning outcomes which will support the learner to be able to:

- ✓ Understand and identify opportunities using the persona technique of research
- ✓ Understand and identify opportunities using the interview technique of research
- ✓ Understand and identify opportunities using the observation technique of research

Assessment and Grading of This Unit

This unit is graded on a pass or fail basis and the learner must demonstrate evidence against all of the listed assessment criteria in order to achieve this unit.

The following provides examples of assessment methods that could be used to generate evidence for this unit. This list is not an exhaustive list and other methods can be used by the centre.

- ✓ Product evidence
- ✓ Learner statement/case study
- ✓ Pre-approved worksheets
- ✓ Professional discussion
- √ Recognition of prior achievement
- √ Recognition of prior learning
- √ Witness testimony

Unit Content

Learning Outcome - The learner will:		Assessment Criterion - The learner can:		
1	Understand and identify opportunities using the persona technique of research	1.1	Describe the meaning of persona	
		1.2	Describe how a persona can be developed from a business perspective	
			Explain how an Empathy Map could be used for the current Business offering	
			Explain the personas to be researched for a Design Thinking project	
		2.1	Describe what the interview technique is	
2	Understand and identify opportunities using the interview technique of research	2.2	Describe how interview techniques can contribute to the Design Thinking Process	
		2.3	Explain how the results from using interview techniques can be used	
			Explain the difference between interview techniques and persona development for a Design Thinking project	
		3.1	Describe what the observation technique is	
3	Understand and identify opportunities using the observation technique of research	3.2	Describe how using interview techniques can contribute to the Design Thinking process	
		3.3	Explain how the results from using interview techniques can be reviewed	
			Explain how you could use the three research techniques together to create a positive Design Thinking project	

Annex

The following pages provide documentation supplied for your use in the delivery of this qualification. We do not mandate the use of this documentation but if you choose to not make use of it then it is expected that you have the necessary documentation in place which meets the minimum requirements in order to allow delivery to take place in an effective and efficient manner.

Learner Registration Form

Full Name (as will appear on certificate)	
Title (delete as appropriate)	Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms/Dr/Prof
Home Address	
Telephone Number	
Email Address	
Unique Learner Number (centre to source and enter this information)	
Qualification/Unit Title	

Date of Birth				
Gender (delete as appropriate)	Male/Female			
Disability (delete as appropriate)	Yes/No/Not Stated			
	Asian Bangladeshi			
	Asian Indian			
	Asian Pakistani			
	Any Other Asian Background			
	White and Black Caribbean			
	White and Black African			
Ethnicity (tick as appropriate)	Asian and White			
	Any Other Mixed Background			
	Black African			
	Black Caribbean			
	Any Other Black Background			
	White British			
	White English			
	White Irish			
	White Scottish			
	White Welsh			
	Any Other White Background			
	Chinese			
	Other			

Assessment Plan

Learner Name				
Assessor Name				
Qualification/Unit Title(s)			
Date of Plan				
Next Review Date				
		be used to explain the tasks that ha ch of the qualification has been achi		d, how well
Unit Reference Number	Description of requirements	task to be completed including any pr	eparation	Target Date
Naturally occurring evide generation	ence may be use	d as appropriate but this will not be th	e main form of ev	idence
Learner Signature			Date:	
Assessor Signature			Date:	
IQA Signature (if sample	ed)		Date:	



Assessment Report

Learner Name	
Assessor Name	
Date of Assessment	
Evidence Reference Number	

Tick one or more options below to indicate the method of assessment used

Professional Discussion	Observation	Questioning	Product Evidence	
Other (please specify)				

Use the space below to provide a full account of the assessment carried out	Criteria covered by assessment

SFEDI®AWAR	DS	THE AWARDING OR	GANISATION

Use the space below to provi	Use the space below to provide a full account of the assessment carried out						
Use this space to provide feed	lback to the learner:						
Learner Signature		Date:					
Assessor Signature		Date:					
IQA Signature (if sampled)		Date:					

Witness Testimony Report

Learner Name	
Assessor Name	
Witness Name	
Relationship to Learner	
Date of Witness Testimony	
Evidence Reference Number	

Use the space below to provide a full account of the witness testimony provided	Criteria covered by assessment

Use the space below to provid	de a full account of the witness testimony provio	ded	Criteria covered by assessment
Use this space to provide feed	lback to the learner:		l
Learner Signature		Date:	
Assessor Signature		Date:	
Witness Signature		Date:	
IQA Signature (if sampled)		Date:	

Evidence Matrix Record

Learner Name	Assessor Name	
IQA Name	Unit Number	

Description of evidence (eg. CV, observation)	Evidence Reference Number	Assessment Criteria (enter the assessment criteria reference numbers below and tick in the corresponding column where the evidence referenced meets the requirement)										

		Assessment Criteria (enter the assessment criteria reference numbers below and tick in the corresponding column where the evidence referenced meets the requirement)										

I confirm that the evidence above meets the requirements of the unit in full and is the work of the learner. The evidence is also authentic, sufficient, valid and current to the standards required and the unit is now ready to be signed off as complete.

Learner Signature	Date	
Assessor Signature	Date	
IQA Signature (if sampled)	Date	

Summative Statement

Learner Name	
Qualification/Unit Title	

Learner Statement

I confirm that all of the evidence presented in relation to the above qualification/unit has been produced by myself and meets the requirement of being authentic, sufficient, valid and current to the standards required.

Learner Signature		Date:
-------------------	--	-------

Assessor Statement

I confirm that I have assessed all of the work produced and have ensured that the work is that of the above learner. I have ensured that during the learning process the evidence is authentic, sufficient, valid and current to the standards required.

Assessor Signature	Date:
IOA Signature (if sampled)	Date:



Internal Quality Assurance Sampling Report

Learner Name	
Assessor Name	
Qualification/Unit Title(s)	
Date of Sample	
Next Sample Date (if applicable)	

Type of sampling activity completed (tick at least one option on each of the first two rows):				
Interim sampling	Summative sampling (certification can be claimed)	Summative sampling (folder referred for actions)		
Folder check	Observation of delivery (observation report attached)	Learner interview (interview record attached)		
Other (please detail):				

Assurance of the assessment process (tick at least one option for each question):	Yes	No
Has an initial assessment taken place?		
Has an assessment/development plan been agreed with the learner?		
Is the Assessor recording assessment outcomes after each assessment has taken place and providing the learner with feedback?		
Has the Assessor confirmed authenticity, sufficiency, accuracy, consistency and validity whilst assessing the evidence?		
Are the learner's assessment/development records being updated and completed on an ongoing basis?		

Action points/feedback to the Assessor:			
Assessor Signature			Date:
IQA Signature			Date:
Actions complete (folder not ready for certification)		Actions complete (folder can be claimed for certification)	
Assessor Signature			Date:
IQA Signature			Date:



Learner Interview Record				
Learner Name				
Assessor Name				
Qualification/Unit Title				
Date of Interview				
Interview Questions:		Yes	No	N/A
Did the Assessor explain the requirements methods at induction?	of the programme and assessment			
Did the Assessor explain the appeals, the opposedures?	complaints and the whistleblowing			
Did the Assessor explain the quality assura IQA, Awarding Organisation and Regulator				
Did anyone find out about any training you take place?	u needed and organise for this to			
Did the Assessor agree an assessment plan required, by when and why in order to ach				
Did the Assessor consider your prior learn development of the assessment plan?	ing and experience in the			
Did the Assessor review and update assess structure and guidance to you for the com				
Do you feel you have received the require assessments?	d support to complete the required			
Do you feel you can discuss disagreements the Assessor?	s with assessment decisions with			
Do you feel the qualification will help you	in the future?			
Have you been provided with advice and g progress to after the completion of this qu	•			
Any other comments:				

Learner Signature	Date:
IQA Signature	Date:

SFEDI®AWARDS

SFEDI Awards Enterprise House 53 Coniscliffe Road Darlington DL3 7EH

T: 0845 224 5928

E: customerservices@sfediawards.com W: http://www.sfediawards.com